Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49352 Pasadena Refining System, Inc RN100716661 Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

AIR

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Pasadena Refining System, 111 Red Bluff Road, Pasadena, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Petroleum refinery plant

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: Yes, Docket Nos. 2014-0228-MLM-E

and 2015-0096-AIR-E Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: January 2, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$19,688

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$3,937 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$15,751 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: July 30, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): September 12, 2014 and October 9,2014

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49352 Pasadena Refining System, Inc RN100716661 Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 4,555.20 pounds ("lbs") of particulate matter ("PM") from the Carbon Monoxide Boiler, Emissions Point Number ("EPN") HTBLR010, during an emissions event (Incident No. 198625) that began on May 20, 2014 and lasted 20 minutes. The emissions event occurred due to a boiler trip which caused instability within the Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit ("FCCU") Regenerator. The cause of the boiler trip was incorrect transmitter settings. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), New Source Review ("NSR") Permit No. 20246, Special Conditions ("SC") No. 1, Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. 01544, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 17].
- 2. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 18,560.00 lbs of PM from the FCCU Seal Pot, EPN VTFCC003 during an emissions event (Incident No. 199950) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. The emissions event occurred due to buildup on the intake screen from the operating charge pump that caused low mid-riser temperature, which tripped the unit. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 16.115(c) and 122.143(4), NSR No. 56389, SC No. 1, Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and FOP No. O1544, STC No. 17].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following:

- a. On May 26, 2014, changed the normal operating range for the catalyst level to buffer pressure swings to prevent a recurrence of emissions events due to the same causes as Incident No. 198625; and
- b. On July 9, 2014, removed the suction screen on each pump to prevent a recurrence of emissions events due to the same cause as Incident No. 199950.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49352 Pasadena Refining System, Inc RN100716661 Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Jennifer Nguyen, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 5, MC 149, (512) 239-6160; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEO SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Fernando Feitosa de Oliveira, Chief Executive Officer, Pasadena

Refining System, Inc, 111 Red Bluff Road, Pasadena, Texas 77506

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Assigned 15-Sep-2014 Screening 17-Sep-2014 **EPA Due** 9-Jun-2015 PCW 14-Oct-2014 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Pasadena Refining System, Inc Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100716661 Major/Minor Source Major Facility/Site Region 12-Houston CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 49352 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Air Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Jennifer Nguyen Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 5 \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$11,250 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. 100.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Compliance History \$11,250 Enhancement for five NOVs with same or similar violations, four NOVs with dissimilar violations, one order with denial of liability, and three Notes orders without denial of liability. Reduction for two notices of intent to conduct an audit and one disclosure of violations. 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$2,812 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** Total EB Amounts Estimated Cost of Compliance *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$19,688 \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

20% reduction.)

\$19,688 \$19,688

-\$3,937

\$15,751

Notes

Notes

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 17-Sep-2014

Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent Pasadena Refining System, Inc

Case ID No. 49352

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100716661

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator Jennifer Nguyen

Compliance History Worksheet

Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria) Other written NOVs Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or	5 4 1	25% 8% 20%
Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial	# 1	
orders meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial	3	20%
without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial	3	
		75%
consent decrees meeting criteria)	O	0%
Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of</i> counts)	0	0%
Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Fexas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	2	-2%
Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were</i> disclosed)	1	-2%
		1
Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
/oluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	centage (Sub	ototal 2)
	centage (Sub	ototal 3
		-
Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
y Summary		
one order with denial of liability, and three orders without denial of liability. Redu	uction for two	
	or the federal government Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the fexas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted) Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Pleanvironmental management systems in place for one year or more Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Carly compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements Adjustment Per ubtotal 3) Adjustment Per V Person Classification (Subtotal 7) Enhancement for five NOVs with same or similar violations, four NOVs with dissim one order with denial of liability, and three orders without denial of liability. Rede	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Octoric excessive events) Octoric excessive events (number of events) Octoric excessive events (number of events) Octoric excessive events) Octoric excessive events (number of events) Octoric exce

ourcaning pare	17-Sep-2014 Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E	PCW
Programme and the contract of	Pasadena Refining System, Inc	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]		
Enf. Coordinator		nevan
Violation Number	limited the second seco	
about the same of	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), New Source Review	
Rule Cite(s)	Permit No. 20246, Special Conditions ("SC") No. 1, Tex. Health & Safety 382.085(b), and Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. O1544, Special Te	
	Conditions ("STC") No. 17	
	Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent r	
11 4	4,555.20 pounds ("lbs") of particulate matter ("PM") from the Carbon M	
900	Boiler, Emissions Point Number ("EPN") HTBLR010, during an emissions (Incident No. 198625) that began on May 20, 2014 and lasted 20 minut	
Violation Description	emissions event occurred due to a boiler trip which caused instability wit	thin the
n	Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit ("FCCU") Regenerator. The cause of the boile incorrect transmitter settings. Since the emissions event could have been	1017.70000
Vacantain (V	by better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asser	*** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
navitation in	affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.	
Woodness or the Control of the Contr	_	- 125.000
V- 10000000	Base	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	rty and Human Health Matrix	***************************************
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual		***
Potential	Percent 15.0%	and de-

>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	
[97.111111111111111111111111111111111111		
Matrix II	n and the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of polluta I levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a	101011101010000101111
Notes	the violation.	
	Adjustment	\$21,250
		421,230
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Violation Events		
	Violation Events 1 Number of violation o	\$3,750
		\$3,750
	Violation Events 1 Number of violation of daily weekly	\$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly	\$3,750
	daily weekly monthly quarterly X Violation Base	\$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly	\$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual	\$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	\$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	\$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	\$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended.	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Number of \	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended.	\$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. Ply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. One quarterly event is recommended.	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. Diy 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x (mark with x)	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. Diy 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x (mark with x) The Respondent completed corrective measures on May Notes 26, 2014, before the September 12, 2014 Notice of	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. One quarterly event is recommended. Ply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. Div 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x (mark with x) The Respondent completed corrective measures on May 26, 2014, before the September 12, 2014 Notice of Enforcement ("NOE").	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750
Mumber of N mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Comi	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. One quarterly event is recommended. Ply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750 Reduction \$937
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. One quarterly event is recommended. Ply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750 Reduction \$937
Mumber of N mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Comp	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. One quarterly event is recommended. Ply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750 teduction \$937
Mumber of N mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Comp	daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base semiannual annual single event One quarterly event is recommended. Div 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Cordinary X (mark with x) The Respondent completed corrective measures on May 26, 2014, before the September 12, 2014 Notice of Enforcement ("NOE"). Violation :	\$3,750 days Penalty \$3,750 Reduction \$937 Subtotal \$2,813 Test Ity Total \$6,563

Case ID No.	49352						
eg. Ent. Reference No.							
Media						Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1						Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.					000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
1	prevent a r	ecurrence of emi	normal operatir ssions events di	0.00 0.02 ng rang ue to th	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst e same causes as	#++++++++ ++++++++	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	Estimated co	ost to change the ecurrence of emi	normal operatir ssions events di	0.00 0.02 ng rang ue to th	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst e same causes as nal Date is the dat	n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events du missions event.	0.00 0.02 og rang ie to th The Fil compl	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as that Date is the dat eted.	n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events du missions event.	0.00 0.02 og rang ie to th The Fil compl	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as nal Date is the dat eted. ig item (except \$0	n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoid	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were led costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events du missions event.	0.00 0.02 ng rang le to th The Fil compl	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as nal Date is the dat eted. ing item (except	n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoid	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events du missions event.	0.00 0.02 og rang ie to th The Fil compl enterii	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as nal Date is the dat eted. ig item (except \$0	n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoid	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were led costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 og rang ue to th The Fil compl enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as nal Date is the dat eted. ing item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	g rangue to the The File complementarial 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as that Date is the date eted. ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	o.00 o.02 og rang ie to the File comple onterin o.00 o.00 o.00 o.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst the same causes as nal Date is the dat eted. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date easures were led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 ig rangue to the The File comple enterine 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst he same causes as hal Date is the dateted. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	rya n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date lasures were so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 ng rangue to the The File complete of the The File 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst he same causes as hal Date is the dat eted. so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ri/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date lasures were so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 ng rangue to the The File complete of the The File 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst he same causes as hal Date is the dat eted. so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ri/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date lasures were so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 ng rangue to the The File complete of the The File 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst he same causes as hal Date is the dat eted. so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ri/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date lasures were so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 ng rangue to the The File complete of the The File 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst he same causes as hal Date is the dat eted. so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ri/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date lasures were so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co prevent a r Required is	ost to change the ecurrence of emi- the date of the e	normal operatir ssions events di missions event.	0.00 0.02 ng rangue to the The File complete of the The File 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1 e for the catalyst he same causes as hal Date is the dat eted. so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ri/a n/a n/a level to buffer press Incident No. 19862 e that corrective me for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 ure swings to 5. The Date lasures were so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Respindent Pasadens Refining System, Inc. Reg. Ent. Reference No. 69352 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100716661 Medial Statuted I. Enf. Coordinator Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 3 Tex. Admin. Code § 318.115(c) and 122.143(h), NSR Permit No. 56389, SC No. 1, 17es. Health & Serfey Code § 382.085(b), and PDN No. 01544, STC No. 17 Failed to prevent undulforized crisissoms. Specifically, the Respondent released 18, 560.00 lbs of RM From the PCCU Sea Pot. PRV VFCCOS, during an crisisions event (Incident No. 198980) that Begin on June 18, 1943 and lasted A failure. The emissions event country to be to buildup on the Indiae screen from the desirating charge pump that capsaled nor mid-rest representary, which and lasted A failure. The emissions event country of the total screen from the desirating charge pump that capsaled nor mid-rest representary, which trapped the unity. Since the emissions event country of the total screen from the desirating charge pump that capsaled nor mid-rest representary which the presentates, the Responsibility of presented of the personal practices; the Responsibility of presented of the personal practices; the Responsibility of the Responsibilit	Screening Date		PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. MILIDOTAGED Medical Statutatis Medical Statutatis Rule Cita(s) So Tex. Admin. Code §8 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), MSR Permit No. 50389, SC No. 1, Tex. Idealth & Safety Citate § 382,055(0), and For Pro. O.1544, STe No. 17 Falled to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Repondent refeased its, 50.00 bits of PM from the PCCU Seaf Part, PEN VFICCODS, during an emissions event (Broderi No. 199550) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. The vent of the most permit in the permit in the Permit No. 199550 that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. Stee the emissions event (Broderi No. 199550) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. Stee the emissions event (Broderi No. 199550) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. Stee the emissions event Could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is preduced from asserting an effirmative deferse under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222. **Programmatic Admin.** **Programmatic Matrix** **Fastington Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.096 **Matrix* **Programmatic Matrix* **Fastington Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.096 **Matrix* **Programmatic Hatrix* **Fastington Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.096 **Matrix* **Programmatic Hatrix* **Fastington Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.096 **Matrix* **Programmatic Hatrix* **Fastington Percent 0.096 **Advantage of Violation Events 1.096 **Advantage of Violation Events 1			
Projection Project P			LEV NEVISION MAION 20, 2014
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex, Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4). BSR Permit No. 59389, SC No. 1, Tex. Health & Safety Cade § 322.085(b), and FOP No. 01544, STC No. 17 Failed to prevent unsufhorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 18,560.00 bis of PM from the PCCU Seal Pot, EPN VIFCC003, during an emissions event Unclock No. 015945 in the Depart of June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. The emissions event Concurred due to buildup on the intole screen from the cherating delange pump that caused for mid-free temperature, which supped and stress, the Respondent is preduced from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222. Sase Penalty 925,000 Service Commental, Property, and Hubran. Health Matrix. Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Percent 0.0% Percent 0.0% Percent 0.0% Actual Percent 10.0% Percent 0.0% Adjustments of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1.1 Number of violation days against by a service of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Figure 1.2.06 Service Minor 1.2.06 Service Min			usus access
J., Tex. Heath & Safety Code § 382.08(5), and FOP No. 01544, STC No. 17 Falled to prevent use/thorized ensessions. Specifically, the Respondent Preliazed 18,560.08 to 87 MP from the COU. Seel Per, IPM YFCCD03, furting an emissions event (Incident No. 19959) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. The emissions event couried much building in the incide screen from the operating charge pump that caused low mid-riser temperature, which tripped the unit. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is preduced from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 **Base Penalty** **Service mentals** **Percent** **Release** **Rel			
18,560.00 lbs of PM from the PCCU Seal Pot, EPN VTPCCD03, during an emissions event (Incident No. 19959) but began on June 18, 2014 and lasted A hours. The emissions event occurred due to buildup on the intake screen from the operating charge pump that causal of wind-tiesr temperature, which tripped the unit. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.	Rule Cite(s)		11 Ex 20
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Percent 30.0%	Violation Description	18,560.00 lbs of PM from the FCCU Seal Pot, EPN VTFCC003, during an emission event (Incident No. 199950) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. Emissions event occurred due to buildup on the intake screen from the operationary pump that caused low mid-riser temperature, which tripped the unit. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex	ons The ing nce the
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Seminarial single event Seminaria s		Base Pen	alty \$25,000
Harm Actual	>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
OR Actual		Harm	en e
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Human health and the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500	OR Actual		Mandanan
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Number of Violation Events 1	Potential	Percent 30.0%)	leanne
Matrix Human health and the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment		Major Moderate Minor	WHITE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TO
Notes not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,500 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x weekly monthly x eminanual annual single event \$7,500 One monthly event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% Reduction \$1,875 Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRE/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x (mark with x) N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			nanyana na
Notes not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,500 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x your weekly monthly x eminantial annual single event \$7,500 One monthly event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% NOE/NOV to EDPRE/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x NA (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	Human health	and the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants whic	h do
Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,500	Matrix not exceed lev	els that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of	
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events	illedit og Cart Accessori		
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1		Adjustment \$17	,500
Number of Violation Events 1 1 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x yolation Base Penalty \$7,500 Mark only one with an x One monthly event is recommended. One monthly event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x (mark with x) N/A Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			\$7,500
daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One monthly event is recommended. Comply 25.0% Reduction \$1,875 Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary X (mark with x) The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	Violation Events		
weekly monthly x weekly monthly x would not with an x weekly worth x would not with an x weekly worth x would not with an x weekly worth x would not with an x weekly semiannual annual single event weekly semiannual annual single event weekly semiannual annual single event weekly worth weekly semiannual annual single event weekly weekly worth weekly wor	Number of V	iolation Events 1 Number of violation days	······································
weekly monthly x weekly monthly x would not with an x weekly worth x would not with an x weekly worth x would not with an x weekly worth x would not with an x weekly semiannual annual single event weekly semiannual annual single event weekly semiannual annual single event weekly worth weekly semiannual annual single event weekly weekly worth weekly wor			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Base Penalty \$7,500 \$1,875 Reduction \$1,875 Reduction \$1,875 Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		weekly	***************************************
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Sericannual single event One monthly event is recommended. Second Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A (mark with x) The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			alty \$7,500
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	with an x	Semiannual	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		One monthly event is recommended.	
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			
Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	Good Faith Efforts to Comp		tion \$1,875
N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		Extraordinary	***************************************
Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9, 2014, before the October 9, 2014 NOE. Violation Subtotal \$5,625 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			herrich eine
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		Notes The Respondent completed corrective actions on July 9,	woodanaaaaaaaaa
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			
Estimated EB Amount \$3 Violation Final Penalty Total \$13,125	Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Tes	t
	Estimate	d EB Amount \$3 Violation Final Penalty T	otal \$13,125
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$13,125		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lim	nits) \$13,125

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	49352					3333333	***************************************
Media	Air					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	2					5.0	Depreciation 15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved		EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 	<u>\$0</u>	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	18-Jun-2014	9-Jul-2014	0.06	\$3	n/a	\$3
Notes for DELAYED costs	the same cau	ses as Incident N Date is	o. 199950. The the date that c	Date R orrectiv	equired is the date e measures were	urrence of emission of the emissions e completed. for one-time avoid	vent. The Final
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	tzc [1] avoided	COSES DETOTE	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000			TOTAL		\$3



TCEQ Compliance History Report

PENDING Compliance History Report for CN603137605, RN100716661, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN603137605, Pasadena Refining System, Classification: SATISFACTORY **Rating:** 20.05

or Owner/Operator:

RN100716661, PASADENA REFINING

SYSTEM

02 - Oil and Petroleum Refineries

Repeat Violator: NO

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 20.05

Complexity Points: 29

Location: 111 RED BLUFF RD PASADENA, TX 77506-1530, HARRIS COUNTY

TCEQ Region: **REGION 12 - HOUSTON**

ID Number(s):

CH Group:

Regulated Entity:

AIR OPERATING PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG0175D **AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 1544**

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE PERMIT 50112 AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 3711

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID WASTE

REGISTRATION # (SWR) 30311 TXD008091290 **WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0004626** WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0000574000

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 3776 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 5639 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 5953 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 6059**

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 12244 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 13494

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 14133 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 20246

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 22039 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 26891

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG0175D AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 29623

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 76192 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 76022

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4820100006 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 15114 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 29628 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 37094 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 42375 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 37366 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 71605 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 42719 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 80804 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 81553**

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 44511 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 82764

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 87987 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 56389

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 89587 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 87919 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 92237 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 84630 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 93259 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 91511 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 95578

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 101327 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 101932 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 112571 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 113020 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS EPA PERMIT PSDTX816 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 109065 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 118791

AIR QUALITY NON PERMITTED ACCOUNT NUMBER IHW CORRECTIVE ACTION SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION

HG0175D # (SWR) 30311

AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY ACCOUNT NUMBER **POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANNING ID NUMBER** P00159

HG0175D

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014 Rating Year: 2014 Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: October 13, 2014 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Component Period Selected: October 13, 2009 to October 13, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Phone: (512) 239-6160 Name: Jennifer Nguyen

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A

occur?

1

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 10/18/2009 ADMINORDER 2008-0050-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter D 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov:76192, Special Condition No.1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Since this emissions event was avoidable, the demonstrations in 30

YES

TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222 necessary to present an affirmative defense were not met.

2 Effective Date: 06/20/2011 ADMINORDER 2009-1807-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.725(c)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.725(c)(2)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: O-01544, SC 1.A OP

Description: Failure to continuously monitor two affected pressure safety valves (PSVs) 14PSV-1321 and 14PSV-1320 that

vent directly to the atmosphere.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.221(a)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition No. 1 PERMIT

Special Conditon No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. PRS failed to maintain a flame on the West Flare at all times,

VIOLATION TRACK NO. 380805 WAS COMBINED WITH 380807.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.221(a)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubFT A 60.18(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Failure to maintain a flame on the West Flare (FLRNWEST) at all

times.

violation track no. 381128 and 381131 were combined.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Failure to operate the West Flare (FLRFNWEST) with a flame

present at all times.

violation track no. 381128 and 381131 were combined.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.782(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to conduct an extraordinary repair attempt within 30 days or isolate a leaking valve.

This was split up into 2 violations (violations 4 and 5) in the pcw and agreed order at the recommendation of upper

management.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov:82764, Condition No. (4)(B)(iii) PERMIT

Description: Failed to comply with the 0.036 pound per million British thermal units ("lb/mmBtu") nitrogen oxides ("NOx") emission limit for EPN TMPBLR001. This violation was moved over to agreed order docket no. 2009-1807-AIR-E and this case was admin resolved.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition No. 1 OP

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Loss of Affirmative Defense Due to Late Reporting

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(1)(B)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit the initial notification of a reportable emissions event in a timely manner.

3 Effective Date: 02/10/2013 ADMINORDER 2010-2073-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT J 60.104(a)(1)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Special Condition 12 PERMIT
Special Condition 4 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP Special Term & Condition 1A OP

Description: Failure to comply with the 1 hour limit of 162 ppm H2S in fuel gas for a total of 107 hourly incidents.

(Category A.12.i.6 Violation)

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT J 60.104(a)(2)(i)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov:Special Condition 12 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Special Term & Condition 1A OP

Description: Failure of the SRU Incinerator (UNIT ID SRUIN001), to comply with the SO2 250 parts per million (ppm) limit for 977 hourly incidents. (Category B18 Violation)

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov:Special Condition 5 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Description: Failure to operate the SRU Incinerator (UNIT ID SRUIN001), with the required minimum temperature of 2300 degrees Fahrenheit (F). (Category B18 Violation)

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 6 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Description: Failure to maintain the scrubbing solution pH level in the Sorbent Regeneration System Caustic Scrubber. The pH level dipped below the required pH level of 7.5 during recirculation. (Category B18 Violation)

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 6 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Description: Failure to maintain CO emissions, for Unit ID BLRHT006, to the required 50 ppm limit. (Category A.12.i.6

Violation)

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 4 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Description: Failure to maintain the O2 level at or above the required 3% in the SRU Incinerator stack (UNIT ID

SRUIN001). (Category B18 Violation)

Violation Track No 410217 was combined with 410218

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.725(I)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 6E PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 1A OP

Description: Failure to limit analyzer downtime of the HRVOC analyzers monitoring the East and West Flare (Unit IDs RFNFLEAST and RFNFLWEST) to less than 5 percent. (Category B18 Violation)

Violation Track No 410226 was combined with 410227, violation track no. 410227 was deleted from the CCEDS window.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Description: Failure to comply with the VOC annual tons per year limit for five sources across the refinery. (Category A.12.i.6 Violation)

Violation Track No 410257 was combined with 410260, violation track no. 410257 was deleted from the CCEDS window.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(1)(B)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov:Special Term & Condition 2F OP

Description: Failure to file an initial report of an emission event within 24 hours of discovering the event for EPN

VTFCC001 on November 12, 2008. (Category A.12.i.8 Violation)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 14 PERMIT

Special Condition 4 PERMIT

Special Term & Condition 17 OP

Description: Failure to monitor and maintain tank loading rates for 39 tanks. (Category A.12.i.6 Violation)

4 Effective Date: 08/22/2014 ADMINORDER 2014-0763-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Major

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.121

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.133(2)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter C 122.241(b)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.054

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to submit a permit renewal application at least six months prior to the expiration of a FOP. Specifically, on May 27, 2014, the Respondent voluntarily disclosed that FOP No. O1544 expired on May 26, 2014 and the Plant continues to operate.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

The approve	al dates of investigatio	
Item 1	October 19, 2009	(804474)
Item 2	October 23, 2009	(760509)
Item 3	November 19, 2009	(804475)
Item 4	December 11, 2009	(804476)
Item 5	January 18, 2010	(804477)
Item 6	January 22, 2010	(787939)
Item 7	January 28, 2010	(788934)
Item 8	February 15, 2010	(804470)
Item 9	March 10, 2010	(830813)
Item 10	April 13, 2010	(793360)
Item 11	May 17, 2010	(830815)
Item 12	June 09, 2010	(846245)
Item 13	June 14, 2010	(825483)
Item 14	June 17, 2010	(824742)
Item 15	July 12, 2010	(801558)
Item 16	July 14, 2010	(860840)
Item 17	July 23, 2010	(842252)
Item 18	August 02, 2010	(843914)
Item 19	August 17, 2010	(866806)
Item 20	September 13, 2010	(873878)
Item 21	October 12, 2010	(849417)
Item 22	October 14, 2010	(881479)
Item 23	November 04, 2010	(888010)
Item 24	December 06, 2010	(896212)
Item 25	February 09, 2011	(909057)
Item 26	March 14, 2011	(916321)
Item 27	March 29, 2011	(900642)
Item 28	April 06, 2011	(924762)
Item 29	May 09, 2011	(938001)
Item 30	May 23, 2011	(843681)
Item 31	June 07, 2011	(945325)
Item 32	June 24, 2011	(920562)
Item 33	July 07, 2011	(952599)
Item 34	July 18, 2011	(933365)
Item 35	August 12, 2011	(959280)
Item 36	September 06, 2011	(965313)
Item 37	October 13, 2011	(971353) (964269)
Item 38	November 10, 2011	(904209) (977513)
Item 39	November 22, 2011 January 05, 2012	(965182)
Item 40	· · ·	(990581)
Item 41 Item 42	January 10, 2012 February 07, 2012	(997942)
Item 43	May 11, 2012	(1016424)
Item 44	May 23, 2012	(1010424)
Item 45	July 09, 2012	(1000401)
Item 46	August 16, 2012	(1031340)
Item 47	September 04, 2012	(1037910)
Item 48	September 11, 2012	(1040044)
Item 49	October 01, 2012	(1019327)
Item 50	October 01, 2012 October 02, 2012	(1024043)
Item 51	November 05, 2012	(1030088)
Item 52	November 12, 2012	(1060795)
Item 53	December 07, 2012	(1060795)
1(2111.)2	December 07, 2012	(1000/30)

Item 54	December 19, 2012	(1036091)
Item 55	January 07, 2013	(1035210)
Item 56	January 09, 2013	(1078917)
Item 57	• •	(1078916)
	February 06, 2013	-
Item 58	March 07, 2013	(1089282)
Item 59	April 08, 2013	(1095676)
Item 60	April 12, 2013	(1075621)
Item 61	May 07, 2013	(1106600)
Item 62	June 07, 2013	(1110277)
Item 63	July 09, 2013	(1117161)
Item 64	August 08, 2013	(1124917)
Item 65	August 13, 2013	(1100738)
Item 66	September 12, 2013	(1129517)
Item 67	October 03, 2013	(1135247)
Item 68	November 18, 2013	(1120785)
Item 69	November 22, 2013	(1140647)
Item 70	December 17, 2013	(1147104)
Item 71	January 08, 2014	(1153174)
Item 72	January 17, 2014	(1139866)
Item 73	February 05, 2014	(1160509)
Item 74	March 14, 2014	(1167154)
Item 75	April 10, 2014	(1174287)
Item 76	May 15, 2014	(1180475)
Item 77	May 30, 2014	(1159088)
Item 78	June 18, 2014	(1187373)
Item 79	July 11, 2014	(1198495)
Item 80	August 19, 2014	(1198496)
Item 81	September 04, 2014	(1171606)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

1

Self Report? NO

Citation:

11/15/2013 (1116489)

CN603137605

Classification:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condtion No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. PRSI failed to provide sufficient

information regarding the emissions event to demonstrate compliance.

2 Date:

12/09/2013 (1121654)

CN603137605

Self Report? NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition No. 1 PERMIT

Description:

Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. PRSI failed to prevent unauthorized

emissions associated with an avoidable shutdown of the FCCU.

3

12/20/2013 (1123827) Date: Self Report? NO

CN603137605

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description:

Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, PRSI failed to prevent

emissions from Tank 301 during a transfer to the tank. (Category B13)

Date: 02/24/2014 (1145848)

CN603137605

Classification:

Minor

Moderate

Self Report? NO Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) SC 29E PERMIT

ST&C 17 OP

Description: Failure to submit HRVOC stack test report for EPN HTCRU004 within 60 days after

completion of testing. Category C3

5 Date: 02/24/2014 (1117011) CN603137605

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special condition 17E OP

ST & C 17 OP

Description: Failure to submit stack test reports 30 days after conducting the initial compliance

tests. C-:

6 Date: 04/25/2014 (1152850) CN603137605

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, PRSI failed to properly

re-set the sour water gas to flare valve which resulted in unauthorized emisisons.

(Category B13)

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(c) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit a Final Record for a reportable emissions event when one was

required. (Category B3)

7 Date: 04/25/2014 (1120568) CN603137605

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.132(e)(2)(A) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: PRSI failed to list 30 TAC 115.729 HRVOC as an applicable requirements for the

alkylation heaters C-3

8 Date: 04/25/2014 (1152227) CN603137605

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, PRSI failed to prevent a

leak from an exchanger in the LPG Unit which resulted in

9 Date: 08/26/2014 (1171598) CN603137605

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(f)

50 THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to comply with the emissions events reporting requirements. Specifically,

PRSI failed to provide the additional information requested by the TCEQ Houston

Region Office regarding emissions event Incident No.: 197215 within the

timeframe requested.

F. Environmental audits:

Notice of Intent Date: 07/29/2011 (944910)

Disclosure Date: 10/24/2011

Viol. Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter B 115.112(d)(2)(A)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT Kb 60.112b(a)(1)(iv)

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart QQQ 60.693-2(a)(2)

Description: Failed to have gaskets on the flip top guage hatches at the top of the guidepoles for Tanks 065, 301, 328,

342, 343, 348, 353, 400, 814, 815, 822, 825, 827, 830, and 831.

Viol. Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter B 115.112(d)(2)(A)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT Kb 60.112b(a)(2)(ii)

Description: Failed to have gaskets for the guage float wells for Tanks 810 and 813, and the gasket on the guidepole

quage hatch on Tank 811 was no long sealing properly and needs repair. In addition, the guidepole wiper on Tank 830 was saturateed with oil, probably indicating a bad gasket.

Viol. Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter B 115.112(d)(2)(B)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT Kb 60.112b(a)(2)(i)

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart QQQ 60.693-2(a)(2)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT AA 63.646(f)(3)

Description: Failed to have the vacuum breaker closed on Tanks 400, 812, 818, 822, 825, and 830 even though the

tank roofs are not landed or floating off.

Viol. Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter B 115.112(d)(2)(G)

Description: Failed to equip the guidepoles with emission control devices for Tanks 810, 815, and 825.

Notice of Intent Date: 10/17/2012 (1041087)

No DOV Associated

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



Š	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TENA O CONSTRUCCIONA ON
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	n

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-1376-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS.

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a petroleum refinery plant located at 111 Red Bluff Road in Pasadena, Harris County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notices of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about September 17, 2014 and October 14, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nineteen Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars (\$19,688) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Fifteen Thousand Seven

Hundred Fifty-One Dollars (\$15,751) of the administrative penalty and Three Thousand Nine Hundred Thirty-Seven Dollars (\$3,937) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:
 - a. On May 26, 2014, changed the normal operating range for the catalyst level to buffer pressure swings to prevent a recurrence of emissions events due to the same causes as Incident No. 198625; and
 - b. On July 9, 2014, removed the suction screen on each pump to prevent a recurrence of emissions events due to the same cause as Incident No. 199950.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), New Source Review ("NSR") Permit No. 20246, Special Conditions ("SC") No. 1, Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. 01544, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 17, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 30, 2014. Specifically, the Respondent released 4,555.20 pounds ("lbs") of particulate matter ("PM") from the Carbon Monoxide Boiler, Emissions Point Number ("EPN") HTBLR010, during an emissions event (Incident No. 198625) that began on May 20, 2014 and lasted 20 minutes. The emissions event occurred due to a boiler trip which caused instability within the Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit ("FCCU") Regenerator. The cause of the boiler trip was incorrect transmitter settings. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.
- 2. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), NSR No. 56389, SC No. 1, Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and FOP No. O1544, STC No. 17, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 30, 2014. Specifically, the Respondent released 18,560.00 lbs of PM from the FCCU Seal Pot, EPN VTFCC003 during an emissions event (Incident No. 199950) that began on June 18, 2014 and lasted 4 hours. The emissions event occurred due to buildup on the intake screen from the operating charge pump that caused low mid-riser temperature, which tripped the unit. Since the emissions event could have been avoided by better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Pasadena Refining System, Inc, Docket No. 2014-1376-AIR-E" to:

Pasadena Refining System, Inc DOCKET NO. 2014-1376-AIR-E Page 4

> Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 4. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	3/25/15 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf o	the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to of the entity indicated below my signature, and I herein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in aterially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount A negative impact on compliance history Greater scrutiny of any permit applicatio Referral of this case to the Attorney of additional penalties, and/or attorney feed Increased penalties in any future enforce 	ons submitted; General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, s, or to a collection agency; ement actions; eral's Office of any future enforcement actions; by law.
Signature	12/03/2014 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Pasadena Refining System, Inc	_CEO Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.